warisan
Journal of History and Cultural Heritage
Vol. 5 No. 3 December 2024
ISSN: 2746-3265 (Online)
Published by Mahesa Research Center

Impact of British Colonial Economic Policies on Indonesia's Trade and Agricultural Systems in the Early 19th Century

Jesika Indah Sari Purba*, Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan, Indonesia
Desta Riani br Perangin-angin, Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan, Indonesia
Santa Hoky br Sembiring, Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan, Indonesia
Rosmaida Sinaga, Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The British colonial administration in the early 19th century profoundly reshaped Indonesia's trade and agricultural systems. This study examines the economic policies introduced under British rule, particularly during Sir Stamford Raffles' administration, and their impact on Indonesia's economic transformation. It focuses on key reforms implemented during the British occupation of Java (1811–1816), including changes to trade routes and agricultural policies. The central inquiry explores how these policies influenced local commerce and agricultural practices, with an emphasis on the introduction of the land rent system and the restructuring of the export sector. Employing a historical research approach, this study draws from primary sources such as government records and colonial reports, as well as secondary literature. The findings indicate that British policies accelerated the commercialization of agriculture, altered trade dynamics, and introduced new taxation structures that significantly disrupted traditional economic systems. However, these reforms also placed substantial economic pressures on local communities, exacerbating social inequalities and economic distress. While British interventions yielded short-term economic benefits, they simultaneously set the stage for prolonged colonial exploitation, shaping Indonesia's economic trajectory in the decades that followed.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Submitted 02/09/2024
Revised 21/10/2024
Accepted 29/10/2024
Published 27/12/2024

KEYWORDS

British colonialism; economic policies; trade systems; agricultural reforms; Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE AUTHOR

indahsaripurbajesika@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.34007/warisan.v5i3.2392

INTRODUCTION

In the early 19th century, Indonesia underwent significant transformations in its trade and agricultural systems due to the economic policies introduced by the British colonial administration (Carey, 2017). Following the British invasion of the Dutch East Indies in 1811, under the leadership of Thomas Stamford Raffles, a series of economic reforms were implemented to restructure the existing colonial economy, particularly in the areas of trade and agriculture (Ricklefs, 1993; Schreiner, 2017). These policies aimed to reorganize the colonial system by granting greater freedom to local farmers and traders while reducing the level of government intervention that had been characteristic of Dutch rule (Ricklefs, 1993). However, these changes had varying implications for the local population, particularly in terms of production efficiency and the distribution of agricultural yields.

One of the most significant policies introduced by Raffles was the abolition of the forced cultivation system and the establishment of the land rent system. Under this system, farmers were allowed to lease land directly from the colonial government by paying a fixed tax, rather than being subjected to the authority of local rulers who had previously acted as intermediaries (Carey & Reinhart, 2021). Raffles hoped that this policy would enhance agricultural productivity and create a more open trading market. However, the implementation of the land rent system faced significant challenges, including resistance from the local elite and difficulties in adaptation among farmers (Boomgaard, 1989).

The impact of British economic policies was also evident in the transformation of Indonesia's trade patterns. The British introduced a free trade system, allowing foreign traders to conduct direct business with local communities without having to go through Dutch trade monopolies (Carey, 2024). While this policy benefited certain sectors by encouraging economic growth, it also intensified competition among local merchants. The effects of this shift were twofold: on one hand, it stimulated the local economy by promoting trade expansion; on the other hand, it weakened indigenous traders who were still reliant on traditional methods of commerce (Furnivall, 2010).

Although British policies introduced several positive economic reforms, their overall impact was not entirely beneficial for the Indonesian population. Farmers frequently struggled under the burden of high taxation, while limited access to capital and modern technology prevented them from fully reaping the benefits of the new system. Moreover, these policies failed to consider the social and cultural realities of Indonesian society, leading to disparities in their implementation across different regions (Elson, 1994).

The influence of British economic policies in early 19th-century Indonesia, particularly in trade and agriculture, was profound yet paradoxical. Raffles' liberal economic reforms were designed to increase colonial revenues through land and trade restructuring. A key initiative was the introduction of the land rent system, which was based on individual land ownership—a concept that diverged from Indonesia's traditional communal land tenure system. This shift redefined land ownership patterns, making them more individualistic, yet many local communities struggled to grasp the new framework, often leading to confusion and inequities in practice (Schrikker, 2007).

From a trade perspective, British policies aimed to integrate Indonesia into the global market by dismantling Dutch trade monopolies. This facilitated the entry of international traders—particularly British merchants—into the local economy. However, the lack of infrastructural and financial support for indigenous traders hindered their ability to compete with well-capitalized foreign merchants who had superior access to international markets (Booth, 2013).

The agricultural sector also underwent significant changes, particularly with the introduction of export-oriented crops such as coffee, sugar, and indigo. While this policy created new economic opportunities for the colonial administration through increased export tax revenues, small-scale farmers often bore the brunt of these changes. Many were forced to cultivate cash crops instead of staple foods needed for subsistence, leaving them vulnerable to fluctuations in global commodity prices, which frequently led to economic instability.

Furthermore, British economic policies failed to consider Indonesia's agrarian-based social structure, leading to widespread discontent. The later reintroduction of forced cultivation practices contradicted the traditional subsistence farming model and communal labor system, triggering resistance among local communities. Many felt that these reforms disrupted social stability and ultimately reduced overall well-being (Cribb, 2000).

Although the British economic agenda sought to enhance efficiency and productivity, its uneven implementation often overlooked the needs of local communities. Farmers and merchants became collateral casualties in a system that prioritized colonial and global economic interests without providing adequate support in education, technology, or market access. This underscores a broader reality: economic modernization imposed without consideration for local contexts can exacerbate structural inequalities and prolong economic hardship (Gouda, 1995).

Research conducted by Knight highlights the far-reaching effects of British economic policies in early 19th-century Java, particularly the introduction of land taxation and cash crop expansion. While these policies increased colonial revenues, they placed a heavy burden on local farmers, who were often compelled to cultivate export crops instead of food for domestic consumption (Knight, 2013). Similarly, Cohen notes that British trade policies opened Indonesia's markets to international commerce, yet indigenous traders struggled to compete with foreign merchants due to a lack of capital and trading infrastructure (Cohen, 2009). Gouda further emphasizes that British economic reforms were not sufficiently adapted to the local socio-cultural environment, resulting in social instability and limited benefits for local farmers (Gouda, 2008).

This study aims to analyze the impact of British colonial economic policies on Indonesia's trade and agricultural systems in the early 19th century. The trade policies implemented by the British had significant implications for international market dynamics and the position of indigenous traders within the colonial economic structure. Additionally, British economic reforms had a profound effect on agriculture, particularly through the implementation of land taxation and the introduction of export-oriented cash crops, which transformed local production patterns.

This article will examine the extent to which British economic policies contributed to economic growth or, conversely, exacerbated socio-economic conditions in Indonesia during this period. The research seeks to explore how British trade policies influenced international market access and indigenous commercial activities, while also evaluating their impact on agriculture, particularly in relation to land taxation and the shift toward export crops. Through this analysis, the study will assess whether British economic reforms were truly beneficial or merely reinforced colonial economic dominance at the expense of local well-being.

METHODS

This study employs historical research methodology and qualitative analysis (Creswell, 2019). The research relies on primary sources, including colonial-era archives and official documents from the British administration in Indonesia, such as *The British Interregnum in Indonesia (1811–1816)*, colonial government reports like the *Java Government Gazette*, and trade correspondence preserved in The National Archives (TNA) of the United Kingdom and the National Archives of the Republic of Indonesia (ANRI). Additionally, this study utilizes statistical data on trade and agriculture from official colonial documents, such as the *Statistical Report on the Agriculture and Trade of Java*. These sources are analyzed qualitatively to examine how British economic policies influenced Indonesia's trade and agricultural systems in the early 19th century (Miles & Huberman, 1992).

To complement the analysis, secondary sources, including books and scholarly journals related to British colonial economic policies, are also utilized. Data collection techniques involve literature review, document analysis, and archival research, with a specific focus on trade and agricultural policies introduced by the British administration. The analysis follows a descriptive-analytical approach to assess the transformations within Indonesia's trade and agricultural systems due to British economic policies.

The data analysis process consists of data reduction, classification, and historical interpretation to identify patterns in British policy implementation and its economic implications. The collected data is systematically categorized under key themes, namely the impact of British policies on trade and agriculture. The analysis also involves a comparative approach, contrasting British trade policies with those previously implemented by the Dutch East India Company (VOC) and the subsequent Dutch colonial administration. This comparison provides a clearer understanding of how British reforms—such as the land rent system and the promotion of agricultural exports—had long-term consequences for Indonesia's economy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Impact of British Trade Policies on Local and International Markets in Indonesia

The trade policies implemented by the British government during the colonial period in Indonesia brought significant transformations to local market dynamics and international trade relations. One of the most profound changes occurred in the structure of export commodity trade. The British administration promoted the export of agricultural products such as coffee, sugar, and spices—commodities that were highly sought after in international markets at the time. This policy shifted the production priorities in the archipelago from meeting local and regional needs to catering to global market demands. In this regard, the British indirectly fostered economic dependence on international trade, solidifying Indonesia's position as a key exporter of raw commodities in Southeast Asia (Reid, 1993).

Additionally, the role of indigenous traders in the distribution chain underwent substantial changes. Prior to British intervention, trade in the archipelago was largely dominated by local merchants who controlled the distribution of agricultural products from the interior to major ports. However, British policies facilitated the entry of foreign traders, particularly from Europe and China, who began to dominate the export trade. Consequently, indigenous traders gradually lost their influence within the distribution network. This shift exemplifies the transition from a localized, community-based trading system to a more centralized form of global capitalism under colonial control. The dominance of foreign traders not only marginalized the economic role of the indigenous population but also restricted their access to markets they had previously controlled. While British policies expanded international market access, they simultaneously undermined local economic autonomy (Reid, 2011).

Another significant transformation was observed in trade regulations and tariff policies. The British administration imposed lower tariffs on export commodities, particularly for products in high demand internationally, such as sugar and coffee, while increasing import tariffs on manufactured goods entering Indonesia. This policy stimulated the growth of the agricultural and plantation sectors but constrained local access to imported manufactured goods, which became increasingly expensive. In the long term, this approach weakened the competitiveness of local industries, leading to the underdevelopment of Indonesia's manufacturing sector. Thus, British trade policies can be interpreted as a colonial economic strategy that systematically entrenched Indonesia's dependence on European products. As a result, Indonesia became increasingly reliant on imported goods from Europe, further diminishing the competitiveness of local industries in producing manufactured products (Ooi, 2004).

Furthermore, British trade policies led to significant changes in trade patterns within the archipelago. The British prioritized strengthening Indonesia's trade relations with international markets, particularly with Britain and other European nations. This integration expanded Indonesia's international trade network but simultaneously eroded local market dominance over resources and goods distribution. The traditional localized trading system gradually gave way to a more globalized economy, in which the archipelago became an integral part of the global supply chain controlled by colonial powers (Ingleson, 1981).

The British trade policies had a multifaceted impact on both local and international markets in Indonesia. While these policies significantly boosted commodity exports, particularly in the agricultural sector, they also exacerbated inequalities in trade structures. Indigenous traders were increasingly marginalized, and Indonesia's economic dependence on international markets deepened. These transformations laid the foundation for a colonial economy that prioritized resource exploitation for global economic interests while offering limited direct benefits to the local population (Bosma & Raben, 2008).

The impact of British trade policies in colonial Indonesia extended beyond structural changes in trade; they also had profound implications for local socio-economic conditions. One of the most critical consequences was the shift in land ownership and agricultural production structures. The British introduced large-scale plantation systems managed by foreign companies, resulting in the widespread displacement of local farmers from their ancestral lands (Frasch, 2009). Many of these displaced farmers were subsequently forced to work as laborers on plantations, with little to no access to the economic benefits derived from exporting agricultural products such as sugar, coffee, and tea. This situation entrenched socio-economic inequalities, wherein the colonial ruling class and foreign investors accrued significant wealth while the indigenous population remained in a vulnerable position as cheap labor. This dynamic created a new economic relationship between the local population and colonial authorities, wherein natural resources were exploited for the benefit of foreign corporations, leaving the local community economically disenfranchised (Elson, 2009).

Moreover, British trade policies significantly weakened local economic independence. Before colonial rule, indigenous traders played a crucial role in distributing agricultural produce and local handicrafts. However, British policies that prioritized export-oriented trade gradually stripped indigenous traders of their access to international distribution networks. Foreign traders, particularly from Europe and China, increasingly dominated the export sector, leaving local merchants with minimal opportunities to participate in the global economy. This imbalance underscores how British trade policies not only restructured the economic landscape but also reinforced colonial capitalism, which systematically excluded indigenous economic actors from international trade networks. Consequently, indigenous traders were pushed out of the primary distribution chain, forcing many to shift towards smaller-scale domestic trade (Henley, 2018).

In terms of trade regulations, the British introduced various tariffs and policies designed to protect the interests of foreign enterprises. High import tariffs were imposed on manufactured goods, while export tariffs were kept low to encourage the export of agricultural products. This created an economic imbalance wherein local populations faced rising costs for non-locally produced goods while their agricultural produce was exported at lower prices. As a result, the archipelago became heavily dependent on foreign imports, particularly manufactured goods from Europe, which ultimately stifled the development of local industries (Mackie, 1991).

Beyond its economic implications, British trade policies also had significant political ramifications. By focusing on export development and strengthening trade relations with European nations, these policies reinforced colonial control over strategic regions within the archipelago. The colonial administration tightened its grip over major ports and trade routes to ensure the seamless export of agricultural products. Consequently, many indigenous rulers lost control over their territories and resources. As economic autonomy diminished, indigenous political influence also waned, further entrenching their dependence on colonial governance (Schrikker, 2015).

British trade policies reinforced a colonial economic structure that prioritized resource exploitation for international interests. While these policies increased export volumes and integrated the archipelago into global markets, the direct benefits to the local population remained minimal. Most of the wealth generated was concentrated in the hands of foreign enterprises and the colonial government, while the majority of the indigenous population remained economically marginalized. From a broader perspective, these policies not only entrenched Indonesia's economic dependence on global markets but also solidified a colonial legacy that continued to shape the national economic structure well into the modern era. In this context, British trade policies can be viewed as foundational in establishing an inequitable economic system that widened the gap between the local population and colonial powers (Lindblad & Post, 2009).

Transformation of the Agricultural System and the Introduction of Export Commodities

The economic policies implemented by the British colonial administration in Indonesia had profound impacts on the agricultural sector, particularly through the introduction of the land tax system and new export commodities such as coffee, sugarcane, and spices. One of the most significant policies was the introduction of the land rent system, which levied taxes based on the size of land owned by farmers. This policy aimed to maximize colonial government revenues from the agrarian sector by emphasizing increased production of export-oriented crops that were highly demanded in global markets. However, this system often placed a heavy financial burden on local farmers, who were required to pay high taxes regardless of their harvest yields. This situation was exacerbated by fluctuations in international commodity prices, which rendered farmers' incomes unstable (Fasseur, 1992). The taxation policy not only created economic pressure on farmers but also reinforced the exploitative colonial system, where economic benefits were largely accrued by colonial rulers rather than the local producers.

Changes in production methods also occurred as a direct consequence of British policies. Agricultural land management was increasingly geared toward large-scale production to meet export demands. The colonial government introduced new technologies and intensive farming techniques to boost crop yields, including the use of chemical fertilizers and advanced irrigation systems. However, while these production methods improved agricultural productivity, their impact on local farmers' livelihoods was not always positive. Many farmers lost their autonomy in managing their land and became laborers on large plantations owned by foreign enterprises. This shift altered the social structure of rural areas, where smallholder farmers became increasingly dependent on large corporations and found themselves trapped in cycles of poverty (Boomgaard, 2007). This transformation marked a departure from traditional, community-based agriculture toward a colonial agrarian capitalist system, where land ownership and control shifted from indigenous farmers to foreign entities.

The introduction of export crops such as coffee, sugarcane, and spices also reshaped traditional farming patterns in Indonesia. Before the colonial period, local farmers primarily cultivated staple crops like rice to meet domestic needs. However, under British control, farmers were coerced into converting their land to export-oriented crops that were more profitable for the colonial government but less beneficial for their own welfare. Export commodities such as coffee and sugarcane required intensive labor and care, yet the returns often failed to cover production costs and land taxes imposed by the colonial administration (Knight, 2013). This scenario illustrates how colonial economic interests were prioritized over local subsistence needs, ultimately exacerbating food security issues.

The impact of these agricultural transformations on local farmers' welfare was substantial. Although the colonial government introduced several programs to support export commodity production—such as providing high-yield seeds and building infrastructure—most benefits were reaped by foreign companies rather than local farmers. Small-scale farmers struggled to compete with large plantations, particularly in terms of access to technology and markets. As a result, many farmers were forced to sell their land to foreign enterprises or work as low-paid agricultural laborers (Mackie, 1991). British agricultural policies not only widened economic disparities but also accelerated the marginalization of indigenous farmers in an economy increasingly dominated by colonial actors and foreign corporations.

British economic policies in the agricultural sector integrated Indonesia's farming system into the global market but provided little benefit to local farmers. The system prioritized the exploitation of Indonesia's natural resources to meet international market demands—particularly in Europe—without considering the long-term consequences on food security and farmers' livelihoods. The introduction of export commodities and land taxation reinforced Indonesia's economic dependence on commodity exports, widening socio-economic disparities in rural areas (Ricklefs, 2009). Furthermore, this system laid the foundation for an economic dependency pattern that persisted into the post-colonial era, where the agrarian sector remained reliant on low-value raw material exports.

The British economic policies applied to Indonesia's agricultural sector not only entrenched dependency on export commodities but also neglected sustainable local infrastructure development. Although the British colonial administration constructed some infrastructure to facilitate export trade—such as ports and transport networks—their primary focus was fulfilling global economic interests rather than improving local farmers' welfare. The trade system primarily benefited European merchants and colonial rulers, while smallholder farmers in rural areas remained trapped in structural poverty. Many farmers were forced to convert their land into plantations growing export-oriented crops such as sugarcane and coffee, which failed to yield sufficient returns for their own well-being (Knight, 2013). This economic disparity underscores how colonial economic development was extractive rather than designed for sustainable local prosperity.

Moreover, British policies overlooked local food security considerations. Before British rule, Indonesian farmers primarily engaged in subsistence agriculture, cultivating rice and other staple crops necessary for daily survival. However, with the introduction of export commodities, much of the fertile land was repurposed for monoculture plantations, prioritizing high-value crops for international markets. Consequently, food shortages became a serious issue in several regions, particularly when export commodity prices plummeted in global markets, leaving farmers not only without income but also without essential food supplies for subsistence (Elson, 1994). While the colonial economic system boosted export revenues, its negative consequences on local food stability were rarely accounted for in colonial policies.

The land tax system introduced by the British further exacerbated the hardships faced by local farmers. By imposing a tax based on land size, farmers were compelled to pay levies even when their harvests declined due to fluctuating commodity prices or adverse weather conditions. This situation forced many smallholder farmers to sell their land to large estate owners or foreign enterprises, leading to a significant restructuring of rural land ownership. The inability to pay taxes also drove farmers into mounting debt, ultimately resulting in the loss of land that had sustained their families for generations (Bosma & Raben, 2008).

Beyond its direct economic impacts, British economic policies also had significant social repercussions on rural communities in Indonesia. The heightened reliance on international trade and the dominant role of foreign enterprises in agriculture altered social relations between farmers and local rulers. Traditional patron-client systems in villages began to erode, replaced by more transactional relationships between farmers and colonial enterprises. Farmers gradually lost autonomy over land management, while foreign companies involved in commodity exports amassed increasing power. Consequently, the social divide between smallholder farmers and large landowners widened, fostering social tensions that ultimately influenced rural stability (Boomgaard, 1989). This growing inequality illustrates that colonial policies were not only economically exploitative but also disrupted indigenous social structures, with long-term consequences for social stability and local economic resilience.

In the long run, the agricultural system established by the British colonial government not only deepened Indonesia’s dependence on global markets but also created structural problems that persisted even after independence. Land that once sustained smallholder farmers became controlled by large corporations or foreign investors, while local farmers were reduced to laborers on land, they had previously owned. Indonesia’s heavy reliance on export commodities also made the country vulnerable to fluctuations in global prices, negatively impacting national economic stability. The colonial economic legacy is evident in Indonesia’s post-colonial economic patterns, where the agrarian sector continues to struggle for self-sufficiency and prosperity for small-scale farmers. Although these policies successfully increased export commodity production, their impact on local farmers’ welfare was minimal, and in many cases, they exacerbated socio-economic conditions (Fasseur, 1992).

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight that the economic policies implemented by the British administration in the early 19th century had significant impacts on Indonesia’s trade and agricultural systems. These policies facilitated the expansion of export commodities such as coffee, sugarcane, and spices, integrating them into the global market. However, these policies primarily served British colonial interests rather than directly benefiting the Indonesian population, particularly local farmers. The restructuring of trade regulations and the imposition of land taxes imposed additional economic burdens on farmers, creating structural dependence on international markets and exacerbating socio-economic disparities in rural areas.

The primary limitation of this study lies in the limited access to more detailed primary data concerning the social impacts of British policies in remote regions of Indonesia, as well as the lack of indigenous documentation regarding their responses to these policies. Furthermore, this study requires a more comprehensive exploration of the role of local traders and their impact on social dynamics beyond macroeconomic contexts, particularly regarding the unequal distribution of trade benefits. Future research should deepen the analysis of the interaction between economic policies and local social structures to achieve a more holistic understanding.

REFERENCES

Boomgaard, P. (1989). *Children of the Colonial State: Population Growth and Economic Development in Java 1795–1880*. Free University Press.

Boomgaard, P. (2007). Syphilis, Gonorrhoea, Leprosy and Yaws in the Indonesian Archipelago, 1500-1950. *Manusya: Journal of Humanities*, *10*(4), 20–41.

Booth, A. (2013). Colonial Revenue Policies and the Impact of the Transition to Independence in South East Asia. *Bijdragen Tot de Taal-, Land- En Volkenkunde*, *169*(1), 37–67.

Bosma, U., & Raben, R. (2008). *Being "Dutch" in the Indies. A History of Creolisation and Empire, 1500-1920*. NUS Press.

Carey, P. (2017). *Inggris di Jawa, 1811-1816*. Penerbit Buku Kompas.

Carey, P. (2024). The Scots in Java, 1811-1816. An Episode from the History of the 78th Regiment of Foot (Ross-shire Buffs): The Storming of the Yogyakarta Court, 20 June 1812, and its aftermath. *Archipel*, *107*, 175–204. https://doi.org/10.4000/12fvi

Carey, P., & Reinhart, C. (2021). British Naval Power and its Influence on Indonesia, 1795–1942: An Historical Analysis. *Journal of Maritime Studies and National Integration*, *5*(1), 14–29. https://doi.org/10.14710/jmsni.v5i1.9343

Cohen, M. I. (2009). British Performances of Java, 1811–1822. *South East Asia Research*, *17*(1), 87–109. https://doi.org/10.5367/000000009787586389

Creswell, J. (2019). *Research Design : Pendekatan Metode Kualitatif, Kwantitatif dan Campuran*. Pustaka Pelajar.

Cribb, R. B. (2000). *Historical Atlas of Indonesia*. Psychology Press.

Elson, R. E. (1994). *Village Java under the cultivation system, 1830-1870*. Asian Studies Association of Australia.

Elson, R. E. (2009). *The Idea of Indonesia: A History*. Cambridge University Press.

Fasseur, C. (1992). *The Politics of Colonial Exploitation: Java, the Dutch, and the Cultivation System*. SEAP Publications,.

Frasch, T. (2009). Dutch and British Colonial Intervention in Sri Lanka, 1780–1815: Expansion and Reform. *Itinerario*, *33*(1), 124–126. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0165115300002849

Furnivall, J. S. (2010). *Netherlands India: A Study of Plural Economy*. Cambridge University Press.

Gouda, F. (2008). *Dutch Culture Overseas: Colonial Practice in the Netherlands Indies, 1900-1942*. Equinox Publishing.

Henley, D. (2018). Foreign Investment and the Middle Income Trap in Southeast Asia. *Lembaran Sejarah*, *14*(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.22146/lembaran-sejarah.39859

Ingleson, J. (1981). Worker Consciousness and Labour Unions in Colonial Java. *Pacific Affairs*, *54*(3), 485–501.

Knight, G. R. (2013). *Commodities and Colonialism: The Story of Big Sugar in Indonesia, 1880-1942*. Brill.

Lindblad, J. Th., & Post, P. (Eds.). (2009). *Indonesian Economic Decolonization in Regional and International Perspective*. Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004253780

Mackie, J. (1991). Towkays and Tycoons: The Chinese in Indonesian Economic Life in the 1920s and 1980s. *Indonesia*, *51*(1), 83–96. https://doi.org/10.2307/3351256

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1992). *Analisis Data Kualitatif: Buku Sumber Tentang Metode-Metode Baru*. UI Press.

Ooi, K. G. (2004). *Southeast Asia: A Historical Encyclopedia from Angkor Wat to East Timor*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Reid, A. (1993). *Southeast Asia in the Early Modern Era: Trade, Power, and Belief*. Cornell University Press.

Reid, A. (2011). *Asia Tenggara dalam Kurun Niaga, 1450-1680 M (Jilid II)* (R. Z. Leirissa & P. Soemitro, Trans.). Yayasan Obor Indonesia.

Ricklefs, M. C. (1993). The British in Java, 1811–1816: a Javanese account. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, *56*(2), 414–414. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0041977X00006121

Ricklefs, M. C. (2009). *Sejarah Indonesia Modern 1200-2008* (terj. Tim Serambi, Ed.). Serambi.

Schreiner, K. H. (2017). The British in Java, 1811-1816. A Javanese Account. A Text Edition, English Synopsis and Commentary on British Library Additional Manuscript 12330. *Internationales Asienforum*, *29*(3–4), 406–408. https://doi.org/10.11588/iaf.1998.29.729

Schrikker, A. (2015). Restoration in Java 1815-1830: A Review. *BMGN - Low Countries Historical Review*, *130*(4), 132–144. https://doi.org/10.18352/bmgn-lchr.10150

Schrikker, A. F. (2007). *Dutch and British colonial intervention in Sri Lanka, 1780 - 1815: expansion and reform*. Brill.